SLIS II HELD IN TWIN SOOS

By Jennifer Dale

Exciting new pheromone research presented at a recent symposium may give fishery managers the edge they need to control sea lamprey in the Great Lakes. SLIS II — the Great Lakes Fishery Commission Sea Lamprey International Symposium — was held Aug. 13-18 in Sault Ste. Marie, Mich., and Sault Ste. Marie, Ont. Those involved in lamprey control throughout the Great lakes attended, along with specialists from as far away as Australia.

USFWS biologist Gary Klar, head of the Sea Lamprey Control Program for the United States, helped organize the event. According to Klar, the event summarized what has happened since SLIS I in 1979, looked at current management and then the future.

Pheromone research is “probably the newest piece of science being worked on right now,” said Klar. Scientists presenting their research on pheromones included Dr. Weiming Li, Department of Fisheries and Wildlife at Michigan State University, and Dr. Peter Sorensen, Department of Fisheries and Wildlife at the University of Minnesota.

The group also looked at advances in technology in barriers, assessments and lamprey cides, he said.

Numerous working sessions were held during the weeklong symposium. Klar estimated that the 18 oral presentations and supporting articles added up to 50 to 60 works to be published in a special volume of the Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science. Klar, who hopes the publication will go to press next summer, thinks the volume will set the tone for lamprey control over the next 20 years.

Mark Ebener, fishery biologist at Inter Tribal Fishery Assessment Program, has been deeply involved with sea lamprey control for the past 15 years. He is co-writing articles for the upcoming volume, evaluating the consistency of individual observers of sea lamprey wounding on lake trout, and estimating the number of lake trout deaths by sea lamprey in the Great Lakes basin. He will also present a 20-year case history of Lake Huron.

“COTFMA has always been a strong supporter of the program,” said Ebener, referring to the Chippewa Ottawa Treaty Fishery Resource Authority. He said the symposium “provided a good overview of where the program is at the turn of the century and provides future direction of sea lamprey control.”

Ebener pointed to Lake Huron as a trouble spot, where sea lamprey control is still a struggle due to the St. Marys River, a major spawning site for the invasive exotic. He added that control in the other Great Lakes is “well on its way.”

The work presented on pheromones was “ground-breaking, some of the most interesting work at the conference,” he said. “It has potential use as part of a whole integrat-ed package of sea lamprey control.”

There are three major areas for possible use. According to Ebener, larval sea lamprey emit bile acids that adults sense and use as spawning location cues when they return to streams to spawn. Klar said the adults could be directed to these

See “SLIS II,” Page 3.

Sea lamprey migratory pheromones could help assessment and control efforts in the Great Lakes basin, new research suggests

By Jennifer Dale

For the past 25 years, Dr. Peter Sorensen, Department of Fisheries and Wildlife at the University of Minnesota, has been studying pheromones in fish. His decade-long study of the lamprey yielded hopeful control and assessment possibilities, as presented at SLIS II held recently in the Twin Soos.

“Pheromone research opens up a whole new realm of possibilities for lamprey control,” said Sorensen, whose research is supported by the Great Lakes Fishery Commission. “Nothing is equivalent to it.”

Pheromones are chemical signals animals release into the environment to elicit a behavioral response in a member of the same species, and are instinctually recognized, Sorensen explained. The use of pheromones has become common in the management of insects affecting crops, attracting and trapping insects for assessment, and to disrupt their mating. The same might be possible in fish, Sorensen has found.

Fish rely on pheromones quite a bit, he said. Water turbidity makes it difficult to see, so visual cues aren’t useful. However, chemical cues, working as odors, can be sensed over long distances and are potent in small amounts. This is especially true of the sea lamprey.

“Its nose is larger than its entire brain,” said Sorensen. “The lamprey’s sense of smell is so very well developed it is sometimes called a ‘swimming nose.’”

In the life cycle of the sea lamprey, larvae live in the streams, metamorphose into parasites and move out in the lakes. They then return to streams to spawn. Pheromones enable adult lamprey to find spawning streams (migratory pheromones), and to help them locate mates within the stream (sex pheromones).

Sorensen has found that there is a strong preference for the adults to return to streams where larvae are located and trapping insects for assessment, and to disrupt their mating. The same might be possible in fish, Sorensen has found.

Fish rely on pheromones to locate mates within the stream. In fact, pheromones are essential — Sorensen found lamprey with a blocked nose can’t find the spawning stream. “We know why they find the streams now,” said Sorensen. “It’s critical to the lamprey life history.”

Sorensen thinks this probably evolved because the stream with larvae is a stream the young can survive in. His conclusions are backed up by trap capture data and lab testing. After a spawning stream has been treated with lampri-cide the number of returning adults drops drastically. Tests in the lab show that water from streams with larvae were attractive, and water with no larvae was not attractive — the adults strongly selected streams with larvae. Once the larvae are removed, the stream is no longer attractive.

Further studies showed that adding larval odor to water made it more attractive than normal water. Sorensen identified one of the chemical com-pounds larval lamprey release — petromyzon sulfite, a bile compound. He’s proven that lamprey can recognize one gram in 50 billion liters of water.

“We can use it to attract — super attract — the lamprey,” said Sorensen. “It’s another option — a very good option.”

Sorensen thinks that there are other compounds yet to be identified. His aim is to build a complete chemical identification of larval odors. The chemical attractants would be easy to use and environmentally safe, he added.

There are two ways the larval lamprey pheromones could be used, to estimate abundance — very useful when considering effort and cost. Sorensen estimated that half the lamprey budget is used for assessment, with a few people out in the big lakes looking for the lamprey. Dr. Sorensen can be reached at pws@fw.umn.edu.

Sex pheromone research by Dr. Weiming Li might help further. Once directed to where fish managers want them, the lamprey reproduction could be further con-trolled by sex pheromones and super sterile males.

Sorensen also sees the possibility of using pheromones measurements to locate larval lamprey and estimate their abundance — very useful when considering effort and cost. Sorensen estimated that half the lamprey budget is used for assessment, with a few people out in the big lakes looking for the lamprey. Dr. Sorensen can be reached at pws@fw.umn.edu.
Oct. 26

A COTFMA/CORA meeting was called at the GTB Williamsburg Annex for the purpose of discussing the shift from COTFMA to CORA, and the future direction of CORA.

Regular business was also conducted. The Authority heard an audit report. The auditor’s letter of opinion was unqualified, meaning he found nothing wrong. He complimented Jane TenEyck and staff and said it was a pleasure to do the audit.

Kurt Kilbourne of Raymond James & Associates, which handles the treaty rights retirement fund for tribal fishers and treaty-related personnel, was present to welcome new participant Little Traverse Bay Band. Kilbourne said he needs documents for the name change from COTFMA to CORA, and that he would meet with new individuals who wanted to participate in the fund. (The fund was established to help those who are not taxed build a savings in place of social security.)

In Conservation Committee reports, John Concannon, GTB, reported discussing implementation of the consent decree. He said GTB is not in favor of the Nov. 1 meeting with federal representatives, and would prefer to meet after Jan. 1, 2001, but they will attend the meeting. They also worked on gathering equipment, and local issues. John Koon, LRB, said the commission and the council held a joint meeting on implementation.

Public Information Officer Jennifer Dale asked if all tribes will still sub-contract to hold the PIE program together. The Authority does want to continue the program. She has reviewed the 2000 communication plan and considered it fulfilled, and had a 2001 draft plan available. She furnished a letter from Project FISH, which is in need of funding, and did not recommend offering any funds.

Resource Developer Bucko Teeple reported access sites, grants, and USCG regulations.

He is meeting with Forest Manager Clyde Thompson on what the tribes would like to see with access sites in forestland, such as Pendelis Bay mooring facility.

The final engineering plan for McKay Bay will soon be published for public notice, and the Presque Bay launch site public notice is out now.

Teeple is applying for two upcoming grants for the CORA strategic plan.

The US Coast Guard is granting no group waivers for EPURBS (cold water survival suits). Instead, individual applications will be reviewed by the Admiral, Teeple reported. Either they must meet with the USCAG again, or cooperate. This also applies to flares, survival suits, skiffs and a number of other safety measures, said Teeple. It’s up to the region to grant waivers; Hawaii may grant them, but not this region, he added. Teeple had prices — in bulk quantities EPURBS are $864.

Counsel Candy Tierney said this must be resolved—it’s a federal violation otherwise. They will try to set up a meeting with Lt. Lahn.

For the changeover to CORA, TenEyck needs to close out the books, set up new accounts; obtain a new federal ID number; documentation for the treaty rights fund, audits, and so on, she said, as well as an official closure date. The Authority decided that CORA would officially begin Jan. 1, 2001, to avoid two sets of everything—from W-2s to audits—for the year 2000. They will start out fresh on the calendar year. However, the tribes will begin to operate as CORA now, and make do with what monies they have.

In new business, TenEyck reported $49,000 in new litigation monies down from the federal government—$5,000 is earmarked for a new edition of the treaty fishing guide, leaving $44,000 to be split five ways. Each CORA tribe will give TenEyck a budget for its portion.

The Authority discussed $8 million in the 2001 budget from the federal government — $2 million of that goes to the tribes rather than CORA, and its use is decided by the consent decree. It will be pro-rated out of the $8 million, they decided.
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Limited Lake Huron Distribution Study
conducted this fall under new agreement

BAY MILLS — A fish distribution study will be conducted in the Bay Mills small boat gill net zone in north ern Lake Huron this fall to determine distribution of whitefish and incidental species like lake trout in a targeted whitefish gill net fishery. The results will allow tribal, state and federal agencies to determine the amount of gill net effort that can be fished without compromising the health of other species.

Bay Mills Indian Community will issue a permit and conduct the study, using one fisherman with onboard daily monitoring. The study began Oct. 3 and ends Dec. 31.

Under the terms of the permit, no more than 1,000 lake trout can be harvested during the three-month study. This quota, in addition to recreational, and state and Canadian commercial harvests, will ensure that Lake Huron lake trout mortality will not exceed recommended levels for rehabilitation.

All live lake trout captured during the study will be counted and released. Gill net gangs will be set in each of two depth strata in northern Lake Huron’s Hammond Bay in grids 505 and 506. One strata is 75 to 150 feet in depth; the other strata is 150 feet and greater. Gill nets will be marked in accordance with Chippewa Ottawa Resource Authority (CORA) rules and regulations: each gang of gill nets, which are set from the bottom, are clearly marked at each of its two ends with a buoy on the surface. CORA urges caution in navigating around these nets.

Six to twelve 1,000-foot gill net gang lifts will occur in each depth strata every month. State, federal, tribal and university biologists have determined the minimum and maximum amount of effort necessary to statistically compare catches among depth strata and seasons. Additional catch trigger levels will ensure that excessive catches do not occur during the study.

The Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Bay Mills Fishery Program and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will rotate onboard monitoring duties. They will collect data on location, water depth, season, water temperature, gill net effort, and number of each fish species captured.

Valuable information will be gathered in the study by biological sampling. Information collected from representative samples of lake trout and whitefish will include length, weight, scale samples, sex ratio, spawning condition, incidence of lamprey marks, fin condition, otolith data. Whenever possible, biological information will be collected from lake sturgeon.

In addition to the assessment fishery in 75 feet and greater depths, nine small boat gill net vessels have been permitted to target whitefish in waters less than 75 feet in the Bay Mills small boat gill net zone starting Oct. 1. Both the small boat fishery and assessment fishery are a result of the extensive negotiations among state, tribal and federal representatives that resulted in the new agreement.

For more information, contact Bay Mills Fishery Biologist Ken Gebhardt at 906-248-3241; ITFAP Director Tom Gorenflo at 906-632-0072; MDNR Fisheries Division at 517-373-1280; MDNR Fisheries Biologist Jim Johnson, Alpena Office, at 517-356-3232; or USFWS Project Leader Jerry McClain, Alpena Fishery Resources Office, at 517-356-3052.

CORA EXTENDS DEADLINE FOR LOGO CONTEST: Artists invited to enter by Feb. 15, 2001

The contest to create a logo for the new entity, Chippewa Ottawa Resource Authority (CORA), has been extended for a number of reasons. The CORA board directed Public Information Officer Jennifer Dale to extend the deadline for a February 15, 2001. The contest announcement did not appear in the Little Traverse Bay Band newsletter. Second, the board wants to see all logo entries on a draft letterhead.

Entries must be original art, in color, no larger than 10 inches in width. Graphic artists are also welcome, call for details. The design must be circular, use the elements of water and earth, and symbolically promote stewardship of resources. Anishnaabe themes are encouraged. Some examples are woodland floral designs, clan animals, or pictographs. The text should read “Chippewa Ottawa Resource Authority.” Or, the artist could designate an area for text. Artists should keep in mind that the design will be reduced to about two inches in width when used for letterhead, and design accordingly.

The entries are due no later than Feb. 15, 2001. Entries should include a full name, address and phone number, and an explanation of the symbolism. Send entries to: Jennifer Dale, Bay Mills Indian Community, 12140 W. Lakeshore Dr., Brimley, MI 49715.

A cash prize is offered! The artist will receive recognition. The winning entry will become the exclusive property of CORA. For more information, contact Jennifer Dale, 906-248-3241, ext. 1170, newspa per@bmic.net.

Using pheromones for lampry control easy, safe

From SLIS II, Page 1

spawning areas for control, or directed away to areas where they are not able to reproduce.

Males emit pheromones in order to attract females. Pheromones could be used to direct adults where they can be controlled, said Ebener. Or, suggested Klar, to disrupt reproduction.

Sterile males have been used in the past to disrupt reproduction. It’s possible that male pheromones could also be used in the development of a “super sterile male” that could out-compete normal males.

“Our perspective, pheromone research would be very useful technology, if we can determine how to use it,” said Klar.

ABOVE: Pheromones attract lamprey to spawning areas.
Cook Inlet beluga harvest would be determined by various Native groups under Dec. 6 agreement

ANCHORAGE (AP) — Alaska Natives of various ethnic heritages would share responsibility for dividing up harvest of beluga whales in Cook Inlet under an agreement reached Dec. 6.

The stipulation agreed to by most parties in a U.S. District Court hearing Dec. 6 could help heal a bitter rift between two groups of local Native hunters — those from the Inlet’s traditional Athabaskan villages and those of Inupiat or Yupik heritage who now live in the region.

During the past decade, the Cook Inlet beluga population has plunged from an estimated 1300 whales to just 350 today. Federal biologists blame the decline on subsistence hunting. Under proposed regulations, the recovery of the Cook Inlet beluga population would rely almost exclusively on restricting Native subsistence hunting to two whales per year over the next 25 years.

But the question of who would decide which Native villages or hunters would get those kills has hampered efforts to manage the local harvest and work out a recovery scheme. It has been a lightning rod for bad feelings and disputes between many local Athabaskan tribal leaders and Natives, often with Inupiat heritage who also hunted the whales.

“It’s the issue behind the issue,” said Michael Payne, assistant regional administrator of protected resources for the National Marine Fisheries Service.

After the allocation debate was repeatedly raised Dec. 6, administrative law Judge Parlen McLane proposed that the whole question be taken off the table. He asked that attorneys stipulate that it be decided later by local Alaska Natives as they hammer out harvest details with the state.

Tribe to fish lobster despite no Ottawa agreement

YARMOUTH, Nova Scotia (AP) — Fishermen from the Shelburne County, to a point 34, which runs from Baccaro, Shelburne County, to a point near Digby, Chief Reg Maloney said. It also plans to fish up to 1,500 traps, or the equivalent of four licenses, in District 33, between Halifax and Baccaro.

The tribe, whose members live on the Indigenous reserve, has not signed a fishing agreement with Ottawa and simply delivered its fishing plan Nov. 9 to the Department of Fisheries and Oceans.

“We’re still reviewing it,” DFO spokeswoman Wendy Williams said Monday. “We haven’t responded yet officially.”

She was able to confirm that six lobster licenses have been offered to Indian Brook to be fished this fall. Five are in District 33 and one is in District 34.

“To date, they haven’t been accepted,” Williams said. The licenses are being offered without the need to sign a formal agreement, she said.

An alliance of non-tribal fishermen has urged federal Fisheries Minister Herb Dhaliwal to inform the tribe that unauthorized lobster fishing will not be tolerated.

Last year, more than 5,000 people in 1,700 boats set gear in 13,000 square miles of ocean in eastern Canada’s most lucrative fishery.

Denny Morrow, co-ordinator for the Atlantic Fishing Federation of Canada, said that the Marshall decision clearly stated that Micmacs are to exercise their treaty right to fish in their traditional fishing grounds.

“Indian Brook has provided no evidence that the lobster grounds in southwestern Nova Scotia were ever a part of the band’s traditional fishing area,” he said.

Chief Maloney maintains that all of Nova Scotia is the traditional hunting and fishing ground of his people.

“They have to recognize that we have a treaty right that allows us to do this without a license. We must be on the water un molested,” he said.

Last spring, DFO offered four licenses to Indian Brook to be fished in District 32, east of Halifax, along a portion of the Eastern Shore. That offer was rejected as the lobster season there is now closed.

This fall, the tribe decided on how many traps to fish “in consultation with our fishermen,” Maloney said.

“But I think myself, I think it’s quite high,” he said, adding the number may actually be lower.

Last summer, federal fisheries officers seized a total of 1,758 lobster traps from St. Marys Bay that did not carry DFO identification tags and confiscated eight boats including one donated by the government.

Indian Brook’s self-regulated summer lobster season ran there from July 3 until Oct. 15.

It will be up to individual tribal members to obtain a boat and gear if they want to participate in the upcoming season.

“The band guarantees 10 percent of each boat’s take, money or product,” Maloney said.

The rest of the catch belongs to the boat’s owner.

The tribe is hoping to be able to sell catches locally, perhaps to the same buyer who purchased product from their summer fishing in St. Marys Bay, Maloney said.

This fall, the band plans to fish from ports in Shelburne and Yarmouth counties, Maloney said, although he was not sure which ports would be used.

BELLINGHAM, Wash. (AP) — Three environmental organizations and the local leader of the Puget Sound Gillnetters Association have filed a lawsuit against the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers over expansion of the BP Cherry Point Refinery.

The suit, filed Nov. 21 in U.S. District Court in Seattle, alleges the corps violated federal law in approving a permit extension for expansion of a pier at the refinery. It asks the court to limit the amount of crude oil that can be processed at the refinery and require the corps to prepare an environmental impact statement on the dock expansion to better protect marine life there.

Complainants include Ocean Advocates, Fuel Safe Washington, the North Cascades Audubon Society, RE Sources and Dan Crowell, a Sudden Valley gillnetter.

“The goal is to get the same kind of mitigation on this project that we were able to get on the Pacific International Terminals project,” Fred Felleman, spokesman for Ocean Advocates, told The Bellingham Herald.

BP Amoco, which took over ARCO earlier this year, received corps permission to build its pier expansion in 1996. The company then filed for an extension to that permit because the pier was not going to be finished in the five years required by the original permit.

Col. James Rigby of the corps’ Seattle office decided no environmental impact statement was necessary in granting an extension.

The environmental groups say Rigby was wrong, especially considering dwindling herring stocks that call Cherry Point home. They also say he failed to seek public comment on the matter before making his decision.

Cherry Point herring have been disappearing at an alarming rate in recent years, down from more than 6,324 short tons in 1994 to less than 800 short tons this year. The stock historically has been the largest in the state and is the state’s only spring-spawning herring stock.

Scientists aren’t sure what has caused the collapse of the herring stock. BP believes it’s not the culprit, said company spokesman Brian Sullivan, adding that the herring now spawn only near the refinery.

“This suit is not directed at BP, but at the corps,” said Carl Weimer, executive director of RE Sources. “They have policies in place and we thought there would be an open public process, which is normally where we’d get involved. But they didn’t do that.”

Dave Schmalz, president of the North Cascades Audubon Society, said the corps’ decision undermined years of negotiations and settlements meant to protect Cherry Point.

“Slowly but surely the state, in cooperation with the citizens and the project proponents, have been putting together a regulatory structure that more adequately and appropriately protects the resources in Cherry Point,” Schmalz said.

Weimer and Schmalz said they do not want the BP pier expansion stopped. But they want to see stronger efforts to ease the effects on marine life.

BP officials have said they have worked with regulators for nine years and believe they’ve answered every possible question.

Pilings for the pier expansion already have been driven, and workers are now building the deck across the pilings. Work is expected to be finished in summer 2001.